



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/819,022		03/26/2001	Jukka-Pekka livonen	796.384USW1	2253	
32294	7590	09/24/2004		EXAMINER		
• '		S & DEMPSEY L.	NGUYEN, MERILYN P			
14TH FLO 8000 TOW		SCENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TYSONS (CORNER,	VA 22182	2171			

DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			022	IIVONEN ET AL.					
			er	Art Unit					
		Merilyn	P Nguyen	2171					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	cation appears on ti	ne cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress				
A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC isions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the preciod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no equication.) days, a reply within the st tutory period will apply and will by statute. Cause the all	event, however, may a reply be tire atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. xommunication.				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action is	non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the appearance of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from c							
Applicati	on Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 March 200</u> Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	$\underline{1}$ is/are: a) \square accetion to the drawing(s) the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Sei ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be of the priority docun nal Bureau (PCT Re	en received. en received in Applicati nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment	• •		4) Tatoniou Summer	(PTO 442)					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/2004</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: <u>Detailed Acti</u>	ate Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/819,022

Art Unit: 2171

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This application claims foreign priority Application No. 982095 filed on September 29, 1998.
- 2. This application is a continuation of PCT/FI99/00717 International Filed September 02, 1999.
- 3. Claims 1-26 are pending in this office action.

Acknowledges

- 4. Receipt is acknowledged of the following items:
 - o Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on 03/26/2001 and made of record.

The references cited on the PTOL 1449 form have been considered.

The applicant Preliminary amendment has been considered and made of record as dated March 26, 2001.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 1, 6, 12, 23, 25, and 26 are objected to because of the following informalities:

The format of claims 1, 12, 23, and 25 is improper. The claims have no clear separation of elements/limitations. It is difficult to determine distinct boundaries between precise claim limitations in the claim (i.e. the preamble and the body of the claim). The Examiner suggests inserting a semicolon and/or colon for better form and clarity. See *Festo Corp. V. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co.*, 234 F.3d 558, 56 USPQ2d 1865 (Fed.Cir.2000).

Claim 6, line 1, "claim 1" is suggested to --claim 4--.

Art Unit: 2171

Claim 26, line 1, "claim 23" is suggested to --claim 25--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1 and 12, these claims are being incomplete for omitting essential cooperative relationships of steps illustrating the method for implementing a functional memory. For example, it's unclear how "the memory" (line 4) and "address computation" (line 14) are cooperated with method for implementing a functional memory. Because the claim is a method claim, all the limitations in the claim need to support the method.

Regarding claims 1 (line 6), 12 (line 6), 23 (line 5), and 25 (line 5), the word "can be" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). For example, it's unclear whether the "individual node", specifically, is a trie node, a bucket, or something else.

Regarding claims 1, 12, 23, and 25, it's unclear which limitation in the claim is "characterized". For example, it's unclear whether "implementing trie nodes as quad nodes" (line 29) characterized "the memory", "the address computation", the method for implementing a functional memory, or other limitation.

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claims 23 and 25, there is insufficient antecedent basis for "progress" (line 2) and "each case" (line 3) in the claim. It's unclear which progress is made and what "case" is employed.

Allowable subject matter

7. Claim 1-26 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection(s) and rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record fails to disclose or suggest the claimed (Claims 1, 12, 23, and 25) limitations of (in combination with all other features in the claim), implementing a functional memory, in which memory data is stored as data units for each of which dedicated storage space is assigned in the memory, in which memory is implemented as a directory structure comprising a tree-shaped hierarchy having nodes at several different levels, wherein an individual node is a trie node associated with a logical table and by implementing trie nodes as quad nodes of four elements, and replacing in at least part of the directory structure groups of successive nodes by compressed nodes in such a way that an individual group comprising a given quad node and its child nodes is replaced by a node whose logical table has 16 elements, and a compressed node known per se is formed from said node of 16 elements by physically storing in the node only non-nil pointers and in addition a bit pattern on the basis of which the physical storage location in the node, corresponding to the search word, can be determined, in conjunction with the remaining, salient claim provisions.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bugajski U.S Patent No. 5,592,667 discloses method of storing compressed data for accelerated interrogaration.

Doeringer U.S Patent No. 5,787,430 discloses variable length data sequence backtracking a trie structure.

Au U.S Patent No. 5,829,004 discloses device for storage and retrieval of compact contigous tree index records.

Soheili-Arasi U.S Patent No. 5,684,976 discloses method for reduced address tags storage within a directory having a tree-like structure.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Merilyn P Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-5177. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-746-7240 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2171

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

MN

September 17, 2004

SAFET METJAHIC
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100